Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)
	20/	0/709,802	MAYES ET AL.
	E	xaminer	Art Unit
	κ	enneth Thompson	3672
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amendment responsive to non-final rejection</u>			
(1) Kenneth Thompson.		(3)	
(2) <u>James L. Kurka</u> .	•	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 September 2006	1	Time: <u>10:32</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's epresentative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A	Ŷ	7	
Claims discussed: 1, 12 and 13	,		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Agreement was made to correct minor error in claim dependency.			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Ap	plicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)
(Landininion of Cignaturo)	-Ph. 101.101.14	F	3